

--Dear Chair Hood and Members of the Zoning Commission:

Re: Community Amenity Agreement; 13-14 McMillan PUD

This is a letter expressing strong objection to the Community Benefit Agreement to be submitted by Vision McMillan partners, VMP) ANC 5E and the process in which it was drafted. Members of the McMillan Advisory Group (MAG), strongly object to the process which was non-inclusive of the MAG, as well as, the immediate Bloomingdale and Stronghold communities. The agreement was not approved by the immediate community that is directly affected nor did they have adequate input on the material terms of the agreement.

Chairman Hood you stated in the public hearing that the MAG , ANC and Vision McMillan partners should meet and negotiate the CBA with the MAG, ANC 5E and the Bloomingdale and Stronghold communities. You placed a strong emphasis on the Bloomingdale and Stronghold communities having input. This has not occurred. In fact VMP and some ANC representatives drafted the proposed agreement with very little input from the MAG and immediate community.

The Chair of ANC 5E and VMP called meetings notifying the MAG and immediate community without proper advance notice, essentially the same day of the meetings (in some cases). Very few MAG members or immediate community representatives were able to attend these meetings. Those that were able to attend were informed that the ANC representatives and VMP had essentially decided what terms and issues will be included in the CBA and what terms will not.

The MAG, Bloomingdale and Stronghold communities submitted for the record their community amenities agreement that is supported by the immediate community. Vision McMillan partners rejected the community endorsed CBA.

I would strongly recommend that the Zoning commission adopt the CBA submitted by the MAG and immediate community.

Tony Norman

McMillan Advisory Group (MAG)

Member

Submitted on 5/27/2014 by:
Tony Norman McMillan Advisory Group (MAG)
tbnorman29@gmail.com